INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HEALTH & MEDICAL RESEARCH

ISSN(print): 2833-213X, ISSN(online): 2833-2148

Volume 03 Issue 09 September 2024

DOI: 10.58806/ijhmr.2024.v3i09n01

Page No. 656-660

The Diagnostic Histopathological Techniques of Prostate Cancer

Noor Hadi Hassan¹, Hadeel S. Hadi², Rasha A.H. Alathary³, Ali A. Al-fahham⁴

- ¹ Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq
- ^{2,3}College of Science, University Of Al-Qadisiyah, Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq
- ⁴Faculty of Nursing, University of Kufa, Iraq

ABSTRACT: The histology of the prostate is critical for understanding both normal prostate function and the pathogenesis of prostate cancer. The prostate gland comprises a complex architecture that includes a functional secretory epithelium, a basal epithelium, and a supporting stroma with a variety of cell types. Prostate adenocarcinoma is the most common form of prostate cancer, characterized by acinar-type architecture. The consistent ranking of prostate cancer as a leading cause of cancer diagnosis and mortality reflects an urgent need for ongoing research into its etiology, risk factors, and effective treatment modalities. This review article cast a light on the diagnostic histopathological techniques of prostate cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is now a major public health problem globally, representing 7.3% of all cancers that were newly diagnosed in the world in 2020 (Sung et al., 2021). It reflects the distribution of diseases and the challenges that the health systems must address. The African rates of incidence for prostate cancer are eye-catching, with estimates suggesting that it will remain one of the most diagnosed forms of cancers in blacks until 2030 in the U.S. (Rahib et al., 2014). In 2018, there were 1,276,106 new cases of prostate cancer, being the second most frequent cancer diagnosis among men and ranked the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide (Heidenreich et al., 2014; Mottet et al., 2020).

These incidences and mortalities related to prostate cancer show significant trends. According to the GLOBOCAN data, the burden across the world's population is on a rising trend that will impinge on health resources; thus, effective strategies for management need to be put in place. The continued top-ranking of prostate cancer as one of the most frequent diseases and causes of death due to cancer underlines the continuous high need for research into its causes, risk factors, and effective therapies.

The projections made by Rahib et al. (2014) show a concerning trajectory for prostate cancer incidence in the U.S. It suggests that even with the advancement of screening and treatment modalities, the number of new diagnoses will remain high. This trend raises questions on the effectiveness of current public health strategies and also on enhanced screening protocols to be able to identify cases at earlier stages when the prognosis might be more favorable.

RISK FACTORS OF PROSTATE CANCER

Prostate cancer risk factors are a crucial way to understand how targeted prevention will be shaped. Among the established factors contributing to prostate cancer risk are included age, family history, and ethnicity. Although, based upon current literature, there exist disparities of incidence and outcomes in respect to socio-demographic factors particularly in African Americans and among different racial and ethnic groups at large. Further research should focus on descriptions of these disparities to help develop interventions that would have the ability to meet the specific needs of population groups at risk (Heidenreich et al., 2014).

HISTOLOGY OF PROSTATE

Histology of the prostate is vital in studying both normal prostate functioning and pathogenesis related to cancer development in the prostate. The prostate gland comprises a complex architecture—functional secretory epithelium, basal epithelium, and supporting stroma (comprising various cell types). Improvements in histological techniques, deep learning algorithms, organoid culture systems, etc., have empowered analysts more in analyzing prostate tissue for accurate diagnostic measures with improved knowledge of disease mechanisms (Panagiotaki et al., 2015).

The cellular layers in the prostate are distinct and are composed of epithelial cells and stromal components. The functional secretory epithelium acts in the production of prostatic fluid, whereas the basal epithelium is only supportive. Such structural composition is

crucial for normal prostate function and is commonly disrupted under pathological conditions like prostate cancer. (Panagiotaki et al., 2015).

PROSTATE CANCER HISTOLOGY

Prostate adenocarcinoma, the common form of prostate cancer, is typified by acinar-type architecture. Features identified in squamous cell carcinoma include elevated eIF4E-driven protein translation and activation of the beta-catenin pathway (Wang et al., 2017). Loss of androgen receptor signaling in 15%-20% of advanced treatment-resistant cases results in transformation to castration-resistant neuroendocrine prostate cancer. Such transformations underline dynamism of prostate cancer histology and relevance of continued research into these mechanisms (Yu et al., 2017).

DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUES IN HISTOPATHOLOGY

Histological analysis has greatly benefited from the latest imaging technologies and computational methods. Proper deep learning algorithms make it possible to achieve more accurate Gleason scoring of prostate cancer, thus enhancing risk stratification (Nagpal et al., 2018). In vitro models are now available for normal and cancerous prostate cells, thereby providing useful insights into prostate epithelial biology and oncogenic transformationally.

The VERDICT model also showed that the intracellular and vascular volume fractions were significantly different between cancerous and benign tissues, underlining the potential of advanced imaging techniques to improve our understanding of prostate histology (Stoyanova et al., 2013).

It is the radiomic features that have actually enabled the freshly found role of imaging in the diagnosis and characterization of prostate cancer. A possible new biomarker for imaging the aggressiveness of the tumor is emerging from texture analysis of T2-weighted Magnetic resonance imaging (Vignati et al., 2015). What is more, PSMA PET imaging represents a histology-independent localization technique for discrimination of intraprostatic tumors from benign tissue areas, thereby complementing the potential value of molecule-targeted theranostics (Zamboglou et al., 2019).

COMBINED BIOPSY VS. MRI-TARGETED BIOPSY

Because MRI-targeted biopsies often underestimate the histological grade of certain tumors, as noted by Ahdoot et al. (2020), this really underscores already, the need for a combined approach. Thus, this underscores the finding that one must combine if one needs to enhance diagnostic accuracy in measuring malignant nature and concurrently appreciate limitations regarding the methods of targeting the tumors using MRI.

In a related study, Giesel et al. (2016) found the histopathology-positive segments to exhibit a notably higher mean SUVmax than histopathology-negative segments. This suggests that imaging characteristics can complement histopathological findings, which other researchers have further confirmed. These insights emphasize the need for integrated diagnostic strategies: combining analyses based on imaging and histopathology.

TISSUE DIAGNOSIS AND HISTOLOGICAL FEATURES

Adenocarcinoma tissue is crucially recommended to be used for prostate cancer verification diagnosis, with H&E staining which should be done for histopathological examination as the gold standard. This basis is key to get an accurate diagnosis because understanding of features on histologic preparations underlies the pathologist's reasoning about behavior and performance of the tumor in making diagnostic and therapeutic decisions.

Karavitakis et al. (2011) emphasized a major discordance in cancer detection and reported that 53.3% of the cancer foci that were detected through histopathology were missed by MRI. This raises some questions about whether MRI alone is sufficient and adequate, independently functioning as a diagnostic toll; it may definitely mean underdiagnosis of prostate cancer if imaging only.

TUMOR CONFIGURATION AND GRADING

For Cool et al. (2015) in their histology, they were able to classify tumor configurations into four categories, showing variability in architecture This classification might make it easier to understand tumor biology, and it may have some association with clinical outcomes The prognostic value and predictive value about configuration of tumors in reaction to treatment need more research to be fully understood.

COMPUTER-AIDED DIAGNOSIS (CAD)

Blom et al. (2017) worked on the potential of computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) systems in improving detection and grading of prostate cancer. Their results indicated that CAD system may very greatly decrease reading time of pathologists without loss (or, possibly, with improvement in quality) of diagnostic accuracy and reproducibility. This technological leap could very much seriously address the challenge that subjective interpretation of histopathological slides.

IMAGING CORRELATIONS WITH HISTOPATHOLOGY

Voxel-wise analyses showed with 68Ga-HBED-CC-PSMA PET/CT imaging good correlations with histopathological findings in most cases. This correlation indicates that with advanced imaging techniques valuable insights are provable about tumor characteristics, potentially guiding biopsy strategies and planning of treatment. In this study by Zamboglou et al. (2016) the further validation of the predictive value of median ADC values for assessing presence and grade of prostate carcinoma using MP-MRI are reported. These findings suggest that imaging modalities can offer complementary information to histopathological assessments, potentially enhancing diagnostic accuracy.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, histology of the prostate is a complex domain that changes from day today with the advancement of technology and deeper knowledge of cancer biology. Future research should focus on covering up the existing lacunae of knowledge, specifically in the context of cancer progression and resistance to treatment. Advanced diagnostic methodologies and comprehensive understanding of histological changes in the prostate will surely bring paradigm shifts in the outcome of patients but currently sparingly expressed.

Moreover, while much progress has been made in elucidating the epidemiology of prostate cancer, considerable challenges and knowledge lacunae exist. It will be important to address these through focused research if we are to develop our armamentarium of improved strategies for preventing, diagnosing, and treating this widespread disease.

REFERENCES

- 1) Ahdoot, M., Wilbur, Andrew R., Reese, Sarah E., Lebastchi, A., Mehralivand, Sherif., Gomella, Patrick T., Bloom, Jonathan B., Gurram, S., Siddiqui, M., Pinsky, P., Parnes, H., Linehan, W., Merino, M., Choyke, P., Shih, J., Turkbey, B., Wood, B., & Pinto, P. (2020). MRI-Targeted, Systematic, and Combined Biopsy for Prostate Cancer Diagnosis.. *The New England journal of medicine*, 382 10, 917-928. http://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1910038
- 2) Beltran, H., Romanel, A., Conteduca, V., Casiraghi, Nicola., Sigouros, M., Franceschini, G., Orlando, F., Fedrizzi, T., Ku, Sheng-Yu., Dann, Emma., Alonso, A., Mosquera, J., Sboner, A., Xiang, J., Elemento, O., Nanus, D., Tagawa, S., Benelli, M., & Demichelis, F. (2020). Circulating tumor DNA profile recognizes transformation to castration-resistant neuroendocrine prostate cancer. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. http://doi.org/10.1172/JCI131041
- 3) Bianchi-Frias, D.., Vakar-López, F.., Coleman, Ilsa M., Plymate, S.., Reed, M.., & Nelson, P.. (2010). The Effects of Aging on the Molecular and Cellular Composition of the Prostate Microenvironment. *PLoS ONE*, 5. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012501
- 4) Blom, Sami., Paavolainen, L.., Bychkov, Dmitrii., Turkki, Riku., Mäki-Teeri, Petra., Hemmes, A.., Välimäki, K.., Lundin, J.., Kallioniemi, O.., & Pellinen, T.. (2017). Systems pathology by multiplexed immunohistochemistry and whole-slide digital image analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 7. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15798-4
- 5) Bulten, W., Bándi, Péter., Hoven, Jeffrey., Loo, Rob van de., Lotz, J., Weiss, Nick., Laak, J., Ginneken, B., Kaa, C. Hulsbergen-van de., & Litjens, G. (2018). Epithelium segmentation using deep learning in H&E-stained prostate specimens with immunohistochemistry as reference standard. Scientific Reports, 9. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37257-4
- 6) Busse, M., Müller, Mark., Kimm, M., Ferstl, Simone., Allner, S., Achterhold, K., Herzen, J., & Pfeiffer, F. (2018). Three-dimensional virtual histology enabled through cytoplasm-specific X-ray stain for microscopic and nanoscopic computed tomography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115, 2293 2298. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720862115
- 7) Cool, D., Zhang, Xuli., Romagnoli, C., Izawa, J., Romano, W., & Fenster, A. (2015). Evaluation of MRI-TRUS fusion versus cognitive registration accuracy for MRI-targeted, TRUS-guided prostate biopsy. *AJR. American journal of roentgenology*, 2041, 83-91. http://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.14.12681
- 8) Cooper, C.., Eeles, R.., Wedge, D.., Loo, P. Van., Gundem, G.., Alexandrov, L.., Kremeyer, B.., Butler, A.., Lynch, A.., Camacho, Niedzica., Massie, C.., Kay, J.., Luxton, Hayley J.., Edwards, S.., Kote-Jarai, Z.., Dennis, N.., Merson, S.., Leongamornlert, D.., Zamora, J.., Corbishley, C.., Thomas, Sarah., Nik-Zainal, S.., Ramakrishna, Manasa., O'Meara, S.., Matthews, L.., Clark, Jeremy., Hurst, R.., Mithen, R.., Bristow, R.., Boutros, P.., Fraser, M.., Cooke, S.., Raine, K.., Jones, David., Menzies, A.., Stebbings, L.., Hinton, Jonathan., Teague, J.., Mclaren, Stuart., Mudie, L.., Hardy, Claire W.., Anderson, Elizabeth., Joseph, O.., Goody, V.., Robinson, B.., Maddison, M.., Gamble, Stephen J.., Greenman, C.., Berney, D.., Hazell, S.., Livni, N.., Fisher, C.., Ogden, C.., Kumar, Pardeep., Thompson, A.., Woodhouse, C.., Nicol, D.., Mayer, E.., Dudderidge, T.., Shah, N.., Gnanapragasam, V.., Voet, T.., Campbell, P.., Futreal, A.., Easton, D.., Warren, A.., Foster, C.., Stratton, M.., Whitaker, H.., McDermott, U.., Brewer, D.., & Neal, D.. (2015). Analysis of the Genetic Phylogeny of Multifocal Prostate Cancer Identifies Multiple Independent Clonal Expansions in Neoplastic and Morphologically Normal Prostate Tissue. *Nature genetics*, 47, 367 372. http://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3221

- 9) Drost, J.., Karthaus, W.., Gao, D.., Driehuis, E.., Sawyers, C.., Chen, Yu., & Clevers, H.. (2016). Organoid culture systems for prostate epithelial tissue and prostate cancer tissue. *Nature protocols*, 11, 347 358. http://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.006
- 10) Erickson, A., He, Mengxiao., Berglund, E., Marklund, M., Mirzazadeh, R., Schultz, N., Kvastad, L., Andersson, Alma., Bergenstråhle, L., Bergenstråhle, J., Larsson, L., Galicia, Leire Alonso., Shamikh, A., Basmaci, Elisa., Ståhl, T. Díaz de., Rajakumar, Timothy., Doultsinos, Dimitrios., Thrane, K., Ji, A., Khavari, P., Tarish, F., Tanoglidi, A., Maaskola, J., Colling, R., Mirtti, T., Hamdy, F., Woodcock, D., Helleday, T., Mills, I., Lamb, A., & Lundeberg, J. (2022). Spatially resolved clonal copy number alterations in benign and malignant tissue. *Nature*, 608, 360 367. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05023-2
- 11) Giesel, F.., Hadaschik, B.., Cardinale, J.., Radtke, J.., Vinsensia, M.., Lehnert, W.., Kesch, C.., Tolstov, Y.., Singer, S.., Grabe, Niels., Duensing, S.., Schäfer, M.., Neels, O.., Mier, W.., Haberkorn, U.., Kopka, K.., & Kratochwil, C.. (2016). F-18 labelled PSMA-1007: biodistribution, radiation dosimetry and histopathological validation of tumor lesions in prostate cancer patients. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 44, 678 688. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-016-3573-4
- 12) Hansen, N., Kesch, C., Barrett, T., Koo, B., Radtke, J., Bonekamp, D., Schlemmer, H., Warren, A., Wieczorek, K., Hohenfellner, M., Kastner, C., & Hadaschik, B. (2017). Multicentre evaluation of targeted and systematic biopsies using magnetic resonance and ultrasound image-fusion guided transperineal prostate biopsy in patients with a previous negative biopsy. BJU International, 120. http://doi.org/10.1111/bju.13711
- 13) He, Jiuming., Sun, Chenglong., Li, Tie-gang., Luo, Zhigang., Huang, Luojiao., Song, Xiaowei., Li, Xin., & Abliz, Z.. (2018). A Sensitive and Wide Coverage Ambient Mass Spectrometry Imaging Method for Functional Metabolites Based Molecular Histology. *Advanced Science*, 5. http://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201800250
- 14) Heidenreich, A., Bastian, P., Bellmunt, J., Bolla, M., Joniau, S., Kwast, T., Mason, M., Matveev, V., Wiegel, T., Zattoni, F., & Mottet, N. (2014). EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. part 1: screening, diagnosis, and local treatment with curative intent-update 2013. *European urology*, 65 1, 124-37. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2013.09.046
- 15) Hofman, M., Hicks, R., Maurer, T., & Eiber, M. (2018). Prostate-specific Membrane Antigen PET: Clinical Utility in Prostate Cancer, Normal Patterns, Pearls, and Pitfalls.. *Radiographics: a review publication of the Radiological Society of North America, Inc.*, 38 1, 200-217. http://doi.org/10.1148/rg.2018170108
- 16) Karavitakis, M., Winkler, Mathias., Abel, Paul D., Livni, N., Beckley, Ian., & Ahmed, Hashim U. (2011). Histological characteristics of the index lesion in whole-mount radical prostatectomy specimens: implications for focal therapy. *Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases*, 14, 46-52. http://doi.org/10.1038/pcan.2010.16
- 17) Li, Yuqian., Wu, Junmin., & Wu, Qisong. (2019). Classification of Breast Cancer Histology Images Using Multi-Size and Discriminative Patches Based on Deep Learning. *IEEE Access*, 7, 21400-21408. http://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2898044
- 18) Lopes, Renaud., Ayache, Antoine., Makni, N.., Puech, Philippe A.., Villers, A.., Mordon, Serge., & Betrouni, N.. (2010). Prostate cancer characterization on MR images using fractal features.. *Medical physics*, 38 1, 83-95. http://doi.org/10.1118/1.3521470
- 19) Mosquera-Lopez, Clara., Agaian, S.., Velez-Hoyos, A.., & Thompson, I.. (2015). Computer-Aided Prostate Cancer Diagnosis From Digitized Histopathology: A Review on Texture-Based Systems. *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, 8, 98-113. http://doi.org/10.1109/RBME.2014.2340401
- 20) Mottet, N.., Bergh, R. V. D. van den., Briers, E.., Broeck, T. Van den., Cumberbatch, M.., Santis, M. D. De., Fanti, S.., Fossati, N.., Gandaglia, G.., Gillessen, S.., Grivas, N.., Grummet, J.., Henry, A.., Kwast, T. H. van der., Lam, T.., Lardas, M.., Liew, M.., Mason, M.., Moris, L.., Oprea-Lager, D.., Poel, H. G. van der., Rouvière, O.., Schoots, I.., Tilki, D.., Wiegel, T.., Willemse, P.., & Cornford, P.. (2020). EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer-2020 Update. Part 1: Screening, Diagnosis, and Local Treatment with Curative Intent.. European urology . http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2020.09.042
- 21) Nagpal, K.., Foote, Davis., Liu, Yun., Chen, Po-Hsuan Cameron., Wulczyn, Ellery., Tan, Fraser., Olson, Niels., Smith, Jenny L.., Mohtashamian, Arash., Wren, James H.., Corrado, G.., MacDonald, Robert., Peng, L.., Amin, M.., Evans, A.., Sangoi, A.., Mermel, C.., Hipp, J.., & Stumpe, Martin C.. (2018). Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for improving Gleason scoring of prostate cancer. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 2. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-019-0112-2
- 22) Nguyen, Kien., Sarkar, A., & Jain, Anil K.. (2012). Structure and Context in Prostatic Gland Segmentation and Classification. *Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention: MICCAI ... International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, 15 Pt 1, 115-23. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-33415-3
- 23) Panagiotaki, Eleftheria., Chan, R., Dikaios, Nikolaos., Ahmed, H., O'Callaghan, James P., Freeman, A., Atkinson, D., Punwani, S., Hawkes, D., & Alexander, D. (2015). Microstructural Characterization of Normal and Malignant Human

- Prostate Tissue With Vascular, Extracellular, and Restricted Diffusion for Cytometry in Tumours Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Investigative Radiology*, 50, 218–227. http://doi.org/10.1097/RLI.000000000000115
- 24) Rahib, L.., Smith, Benjamin D.., Aizenberg, R.., Rosenzweig, A.., Fleshman, Julie M., & Matrisian, L.. (2014). Projecting cancer incidence and deaths to 2030: the unexpected burden of thyroid, liver, and pancreas cancers in the United States.. Cancer research, 74 11, 2913-21. http://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0155
- 25) Stoyanova, Tanya., Cooper, Aaron R., Drake, J.., Liu, X.., Armstrong, A.., Pienta, K.., Zhang, Hong., Kohn, D.., Huang, Jiaoti., Witte, O.., & Goldstein, A.. (2013). Prostate cancer originating in basal cells progresses to adenocarcinoma propagated by luminal-like cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110, 20111 20116. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1320565110
- 26) Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., & Bray, F. (2021). Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 71, 209 249. http://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660
- 27) Vignati, A., Mazzetti, S., Giannini, V., Russo, F., Bollito, E., Porpiglia, F., Stasi, M., & Regge, D. (2015). Texture features on T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging: new potential biomarkers for prostate cancer aggressiveness. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 60, 2685 2701. http://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/60/7/2685
- 28) Wang, Jing., Wu, Chen-Jiang., Bao, Mei-Ling., Zhang, Jing., Wang, Xiao-Ning., & Zhang, Yu-Dong. (2017). Machine learning-based analysis of MR radiomics can help to improve the diagnostic performance of PI-RADS v2 in clinically relevant prostate cancer. *European Radiology*, 27, 4082-4090. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-017-4800-5
- 29) Yao, Hongdou., Zhang, Xuejie., Zhou, Xiaobing., & Liu, Shengyan. (2019). Parallel Structure Deep Neural Network Using CNN and RNN with an Attention Mechanism for Breast Cancer Histology Image Classification. *Cancers*, 11 . http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11121901
- 30) Yu, A., Badve, Chaitra., Ponsky, L., Pahwa, Shivani., Dastmalchian, S., Rogers, Matthew., Jiang, Yun., Margevicius, S., Schluchter, M., Tabayoyong, William B., Abouassaly, R., McGivney, Debra F., Griswold, M., & Gulani, V. (2017). Development of a Combined MR Fingerprinting and Diffusion Examination for Prostate Cancer.. *Radiology*, 283 3, 729-738. http://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2017161599
- 31) Zamboglou, C., Carles, M., Fechter, T., Kiefer, S., Reichel, Kathrin., Fassbender, T., Bronsert, P., Koeber, Goeran., Schilling, O., Ruf, J., Werner, M., Jilg, C., Baltas, D., Mix, M., & Grosu, A. (2019). Radiomic features from PSMA PET for non-invasive intraprostatic tumor discrimination and characterization in patients with intermediate- and high-risk prostate cancer a comparison study with histology reference. *Theranostics*, 9, 2595 2605. http://doi.org/10.7150/thno.32376
- 32) Zamboglou, C., Schiller, F., Fechter, T., Wieser, Gesche., Jilg, C., Chirindel, A., Salman, N., Drendel, V., Werner, M., Mix, M., Meyer, P., & Grosu, A. (2016). 68Ga-HBED-CC-PSMA PET/CT Versus Histopathology in Primary Localized Prostate Cancer: A Voxel-Wise Comparison. *Theranostics*, 6, 1619 1628. http://doi.org/10.7150/thno.15344