# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HEALTH & MEDICAL RESEARCH**

ISSN(print): 2833-213X, ISSN(online): 2833-2148

Volume 03 Issue 11 November 2024

DOI : [10.58806/ijhmr.2024.v3i11n04](https://doi.org/10.58806/ijhmr.2024.v3i11n04)

Page No. 811-815

# **Association Between Virulence Factors of Staphylococcus and Disease Progression: A Review Article**

# **Yasameen Riyadh Al-Azzawi<sup>1</sup> , Shireen S. Kamil<sup>2</sup> , Ali A. Al-fahham<sup>3</sup>**

**<sup>1</sup>** Department of Anesthesia Technologies, College of Health and Medical Technologies, University of Hilla, Babylon, Iraq **<sup>2</sup>** Department of Biology, College of Science for women, University of Babylon, Iraq

**<sup>3</sup>** Faculty of Nursing, University of Kufa, Iraq

**ABSTRACT:** Staphylococcus aureus is amongst the leading human bacterial pathogens, causing diseases that range from small skin infections to severe systemic conditions. The high pathogenicity of S. aureus is due to its exquisite virulence armament, including adhesion proteins, toxins, and antibiotic resistance traits. The surface-associated polysaccharides of S. aureus are one critical aspect concerning virulence and biofilm development. This paper provides a synthesis of recent research concerning the virulence factors of S. aureus with the aim to focus on their pathogenic roles along with treatment implicative and existing gap.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most important human pathogens, causing a wide range of infections from minor skin infections to potentially fatal diseases such as sepsis and pneumonia. The polysaccharides exposed on the surface of S. aureus very strongly underline the pathogenicity of this bacterial species and combined with virgin efforts in biofilm formation. The self-protective architectures of biofilms additionally play a crucial role in antibiotic resistance and chronic infections. Just as important is the increasing incidence of infections, in particular healthcare and community-acquired skin infections, to underline the need to understand its virulence factors (Tong et al., 2015). These, along with the several toxins and mechanisms by which the microbe gains antibiotic resistance, are important in its capability to inflict disease and further complicate treatment. Attracting contradictory interactions of all these factors within various clinical settings, there is, for that reason, minimal high-quality proof to guide practices in management.

Such strain-specific virulence determinants have been characterized in a comparative analysis of different strains of S. aureus that show positive correlation with the severity of infections (Kong et al., 2016). In this respect, insight into the metabolic capacities and profiles of virulence factors among diverse strains is necessary in the development of approaches aimed at targeted interventions and understanding the epidemiology of S. aureus infections in different human populations.

Research has identified many virulence determinants for pathogenicity of S. aureus. As such, the diverse array of toxins elaborated by the pathogen and the respective disease conditions propels the need for in-depth research to understand how initiation and maintenance of infections are carried out by S. aureus. In this regard, the knowledge concerning these virulence factors is central in addressing the problems related to antibiotic resistance and lack of proper vaccines.

Adaptation of S. aureus to host environments is most stringently controlled by global regulatory systems that control the expression of virulence determinants. Systems such as these play major roles in allowing S. aureus to perceive environmental signals and modulate its virulence output, accordingly. Such regulatory intricacy may well be expected not only to ensure the survival and dissemination of the pathogen but also to create ample opportunity for anti-virulence therapies.

Although great strides have been made in understanding the virulence factors of S. aureus, many salient lacunae remain, particularly on those virulence factors that are causative of S. aureus mastitis (Algammal et al., 2020). Further work on the molecular pathogenesis and advanced diagnostics are necessary to meet the challenges of this infection predominantly in dairy cows. The work in the future should explore in detail the crosstalk between the different virulence factors as well as their regulatory networks and host immune response.

Although much progress has been made in delineating the process of S. aureus biofilm formation, much remains to be done. An area for further research is the finding of definite molecules within pathways of biofilm development that would be usable as a target for therapeutic intervention. Another interesting possibility is overcoming the daptomycin resistance that is related to biofilms using nanoconstructs for daptomycin delivery system development. Further research on the possible synergistic effects of natural compounds with conventional antibiotics may open up new horizons for the treatment of infectious diseases.

Investigations on the transition of S. aureus from a commensal organism to an invasive pathogen have found specific genes that are upregulated during infection (Bosi et al., 2016). Such studies are fundamental in comprehending the mechanisms of pathogenesis and coming up with possible targets that could be used therapeutically to avert or treat infections.

#### **2. VIRULENCE DETERMINANTS AND DISEASE PROGRESSION**

#### **2.1 Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)**

The appearance of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) has raised serious problems related to public healthcare. Among the virulence factors expressed by MRSA are lots of toxins and the mecA gene noted for expressing antibiotic resistance by the bacteria, features meant to help in understanding the mechanisms through which it causes infection and also helps in structuring the treatment that may be used against it (Zecconi & Scali, 2013). Virulence of Panton-Valentine leukocidin toxin in community-acquired MRSA infections is one of the gaps where there is still a question mark, hence much need for further research to bring out its clear picture of significance in pathogenesis (Howden et al., 2023).

#### **2.2. Superantigens and Toxin-Mediated Pathogenesis**

The staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) are superantigens involved in the majority of S. aureus infections, such as toxic shock syndrome and food poisoning. Emphasis on toxin-mediated pathogenesis is important in the development of new therapeutic approaches against the growing menace of antibiotic-resistant infections, especially CA-MRSA strains (Algammal et al., 2020; Howden et al., 2023) .

SEA, the best characterized enterotoxin, is included among a complete set of classical and newer enterotoxins. SEA holds first place among well-identified virulent factors regarding food intoxications in the world. High emetic activity characterizes these toxins in such a way that, besides emetic activity, very quick manifestations of nausea and vomiting following their ingestion have been described (Argudín et al., 2010).

Another aspect of SE superantigenicity also inculcates some complications into SE pathogenicity, with very elicited strong immune responses that can sometimes complicate clinical outcomes (Hennekinne et al., 2012). The evidence detailed that S. Aureus is genetically diverse, and that accessory genetic elements are crucial in the coding of these toxins (Argudín et al., 2010). This genetic diversity not only complicates food safety assessments but also more new enterotoxins are underestimated and their possible role in food poisoning syndromes where so little is known (Argudín et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2016).

#### **2.3. Surface Polysaccharides**

This has been linked most particularly to polysaccharides on its surface, and in particular polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA), from which an integrated role in biofilm development was ascertained in McCarthy et al. (2015) in their study on icaADBC, an operon that codes for PIA, key to biofilm formation in methicillin-sensitive S. aureus (MSSA). Those MRSA isolates have been shown to instead have a different biofilm phenotype based on the expression of eDNA and sortase-anchored proteins. The downregulation is biologically meaningful, showing that if mecA is present, thereby conferring methicillin resistance, it changes the mechanisms of biofilm production whereby repression occurs for PIA-mediated biofilm formation. The facts go on to show that such surface polysaccharides are not only vital for adhesion but, as also stressed previously, essential for the pathogenesis of S. aureus, most dramatically in the context of resistance to antibiotics.

Besides, Ji et al. (2021) reported that clinical isolates from dairy herds were capable of producing biofilm, which relates to the expression of both icaA/D, clf/B, can, and fnbA genes, attributed to high virulence of S. aureus in dairy environments, thus underlining the public health implications of S. aureus contamination in food products.

This is the reason why surface polysaccharide expression in S. aureus is regulated by complex networks of gene regulators that also govern the expression of other virulence factors. With the same seriousness, the accessory gene regulator system was thought to have an indirect effect on modulating virulence factor expression but has an indirect effect on surface polysaccharide production. The importance of these regulations cannot be overemphasized, as one would want to explain how surface polysaccharides may be playing a pathogenic role in clinical isolates, especially concerning their adhesion to host tissues and immunological defense avoidance.

An area of study that is of equal importance is the interplay between surface polysaccharides and the host immune response. They may interact with cell wall-anchored proteins, often including polysaccharides, prominence in the virulence of S. aureus and its interplay with the immune system. The immunogenic properties of such surface components are important in healing against S. aureus infections, especially now with increasing antibiotic resistance. According to Sugimoto et al. (2018), further insights into the contribution of extracellular DNA to the biofilm formation of both methicillin-sensitive and resistant strains of S. aureus have been obtained. From their results, since extracellular DNA is indispensable for biofilm structural integrity and function in addition to surface polysaccharides, further need for specific therapeutic strategies toward such components is highlighted.

**2.4. Biofilm Formation**For S. aureus, the biofilm matrix is a key virulence factor, as it strengthens pathogenicity and resistance to therapy (Howden et al., 2023). Knowledge of the matrix composition, including possible moonlighting functions of cytoplasmic proteins, is a great arm in the development of approaches to fight against chronic S. aureus infections, especially those nosoc

Research has elucidated, to some degree, the elaborate processes involved in biofilm development by S. aureus. Describing a fivestage model of biofilm development, starting from initial attachment to microcolony formation and then maturation and detachment, Otto (2013) puts stress on the actual dynamic nature of biofilm. It underlines metabolic heterogeneity within the biofilm community that allows S. aureus to meet a variety of environmental challenges. Supporting this five-stage model, Moormeier and Bayles (2017) added that processes leading to microcolony formation are stochastic in nature; this further supports the idea that biofilms are not merely cellular aggregates but complex communities featuring marked metabolic diversity.

Another insight into biofilm dynamics, it turns out that PSMs have a function in biofilm maturation and detachment which fosters bacterial dissemination. Activity of the Sae regulatory system extends to control the exodus of biofilm cells thus indicating biofilms to be architectural structures. This has further demonstrated that biofilm communities have incredibly complex relationships.

A well-established connection exists between biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance. Biofilm protects S. aureus against antibiotic action, which is one of the reasons this bacterium is high on the list of human infectious agents, particularly methicillinresistant S. aureus (MRSA), as outlined by Craft et al. (2019). Independent studies have reported that biofilm-producing strains show more resistance to multiple drugs. As a result, disinfecting the infections is added in complexity (Neopane et al., 2018).

Research studies recently alternative approaches to the suppression of biofilm formation and increased antibiotic efficacy. d-Amino acids have been proven not to inhibit the initial attachment of biofilm formation, but they act on the mature biofilm structure (Hochbaum et al., 2011). Tannic acid showed powerful anti-biofilm activity against the integrity of biofilms at sub-MIC levels (Dong et al., 2018). Furthermore, it has been found that baicalein can downregulate biofilm formation by down-regulating the process' quorum-sensing gene expression and sensitize MRSA to antibiotics by the combined treatment with standard antibiotics (Chen et al., 2016).

Roy et al. (2019) noted that biofilm infections significantly interfere with the process of wound healing since biofilm formation leads to the degradation of collagen and does not allow granulation tissue to form. That reflects the clinical importance of biofilmassociated infections in chronic wounds and wherein effective management strategies play a key role in enhancing patient outcomes. The knowledge about biofilms involvement in tissue pathology provides information on the kind of targeted interventions needed to break up the process of biofilm formation and restore normal healing processes.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

The virulence factors of S. aureus (Staphylococcus aureus) indeed relate to the pathogenicity of this highly versatile bacterium and much of the attendant difficulty in treating infections. The biofilm formation ability of S. aureus poses a highly significant challenge regarding the management of infections, especially in healthcare settings. Fundamental insights into the mechanisms of biofilm development and their contributions to the problem of antibiotic resistance, as well as the effect of biofilm on wound healing, are needed to develop effective therapeutics. The cell wall polysaccharides of S. aureus participate in its pathogenicity as well as the acquired antibiotic resistance of clinical isolates. Further investigations are warranted to fill in the knowledge gaps that presently exist, with an especial focus on strain-specific virulence determinants and the control mechanisms that govern them. It is from such avenues that the development of enhanced forms of therapy and prophylaxis against S. aureus infection, specifically against strains showing resistance to multiple antibiotics, can be developed.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1) Algammal, Abelazeem M., Hetta, H.., Elkelish, Amr A.., Alkhalifah, D. H.., Hozzein, W.., Batiha, G.., Nahhas, Nihal El., & Mabrok, M. (2020). Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA): One Health Perspective Approach to the Bacterium Epidemiology, Virulence Factors, Antibiotic-Resistance, and Zoonotic Impact. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 13, 3255 - 3265. <http://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S272733>
- 2) Argudín, M.., Mendoza, M.., & Rodicio, M. (2010). Food Poisoning and Staphylococcus aureus Enterotoxins. Toxins, 2, 1751 - 1773. <http://doi.org/10.3390/toxins2071751>
- 3) Beaudoin, T.., Yau, Y.., Stapleton, P.., Gong, Y.., Wang, Pauline W.., Guttman, D.., & Waters, V. (2017). Staphylococcus aureus interaction with Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm enhances tobramycin resistance. NPJ Biofilms and Microbiomes, 3. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-017-0035-0
- 4) Bosi, Emanuele., Monk, Jonathan M.., Aziz, R.., Fondi, M.., Nizet, V.., & Palsson, B. (2016). Comparative genome-scale modelling of Staphylococcus aureus strains identifies strain-specific metabolic capabilities linked to pathogenicity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113, E3801 – E3809. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1523199113>
- 5) Chen, Yan., Liu, Tangjuan., Wang, Ke., Hou, Changchun., Cai, Shuangqi., Huang, Ying., Du, Zhongye., Huang, Hong., Kong, J.., & Chen, Yiqiang. (2016). Baicalein Inhibits Staphylococcus Aureus Biofilm Formation and the Quorum Sensing System In Vitro. PLoS ONE, 11. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153468
- 6) Cheung, Gordon Y. C.., Bae, Justin S.., & Otto, M. (2021). Pathogenicity and virulence of Staphylococcus aureus. *Virulence*, 12, 547 - 569. <http://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2021.1878688>

- 7) Craft, Kelly M.., Nguyen, Johny M.., Berg, L. J.., & Townsend, Steven D. (2019). Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA): antibiotic-resistance and the biofilm phenotype. MedChemComm, 10 8, 1231-1241. http://doi.org/10.1039/C9MD00044E
- 8) Dong, Guofeng., Liu, Haiyang., Yu, Xiao., Zhang, Xiaoxiao., Lu, Hong., Zhou, Tieli., & Cao, Jianming. (2018). Antimicrobial and anti-biofilm activity of tannic acid against Staphylococcus aureus. Natural Product Research, 32, 2225 - 2228. http://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2017.1366485
- 9) Hengel, I. V. van., Riool, M.., Fratila-Apachitei, L.., Witte-Bouma, J.., Farrell, E.., Zadpoor, A. A.., Zaat, S.., & Apachitei, I. (2017). Selective laser melting porous metallic implants with immobilized silver nanoparticles kill and prevent biofilm formation by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Biomaterials, 140, 1-15. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2017.02.030
- 10) Hochbaum, A.., Kolodkin-Gal, Ilana., Foulston, Lucy., Kolter, R.., Aizenberg, J.., & Losick, R. (2011). Inhibitory Effects of d-Amino Acids on Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm Development. Journal of Bacteriology, 193, 5616 - 5622. http://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05534-11
- 11) Howden, B.., Giulieri, S.., Lung, Tania Wong Fok., Baines, Sarah L.., Sharkey, Liam K. R.., Lee, Jean Y. H.., Hachani, A.., Monk, Ian R.., & Stinear, T. (2023). Staphylococcus aureus host interactions and adaptation. *Nature Reviews. Microbiology*, 21, 380 - 395. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-023-00852-y>
- 12) Iwase, Tadayuki., Uehara, Y.., Shinji, H.., Tajima, Akiko., Seo, H.., Takada, K.., Agata, T.., & Mizunoe, Y. (2010). Staphylococcus epidermidis Esp inhibits Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation and nasal colonization. Nature, 465, 346- 349. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature09074
- 13) Jenul, C.., & Horswill, A. (2019). Regulation of Staphylococcus aureus Virulence. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 7. <http://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.gpp3-0031-2018>
- 14) Ji, Xiaolong., Cheng, Ya-Chih., Tian, Jingyuan., Zhang, Siqi., Jing, Yongshuai., & Shi, Miaomiao. (2021). Structural characterization of polysaccharide from jujube (Ziziphus jujuba Mill.) fruit. Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture, 8. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-021-00255-2>
- 15) Kong, Cin., Neoh, H.., & Nathan, S.. (2016). Targeting Staphylococcus aureus Toxins: A Potential form of Anti-Virulence Therapy. *Toxins*, 8. <http://doi.org/10.3390/toxins8030072>
- 16) Kvam, E.., Davis, Brian., Mondello, F.., & Garner, A. (2012). Nonthermal Atmospheric Plasma Rapidly Disinfects Multidrug-Resistant Microbes by Inducing Cell Surface Damage. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 56, 2028 - 2036. <http://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05642-11>
- 17) McCarthy, Hannah., Rudkin, Justine K.., Black, Nikki S.., Gallagher, Laura A.., O'Neill, E.., & O'Gara, J. (2015). Methicillin resistance and the biofilm phenotype in Staphylococcus aureus. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, 5. <http://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2015.00001>
- 18) Meeker, D. G.., Jenkins, S.., Miller, Emily K.., Beenken, K.., Loughran, A.., Powless, Amy J.., Muldoon, Timothy J.., Galanzha, E.., Zharov, V.., Smeltzer, M.., & Chen, Jingyi. (2016). Synergistic Photothermal and Antibiotic Killing of Biofilm-Associated Staphylococcus aureus Using Targeted Antibiotic-Loaded Gold Nanoconstructs. ACS Infectious Diseases, 2, 241 - 250. http://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.5b00117
- 19) Miklasińska-Majdanik, Maria., Kępa, M.., Wojtyczka, R.., Idzik, D.., & Wąsik, Tomasz J. (2018). Phenolic Compounds Diminish Antibiotic Resistance of Staphylococcus Aureus Clinical Strains. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 15. http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15102321
- 20) Moormeier, Derek E.., & Bayles, K. (2017). Staphylococcus aureus biofilm: a complex developmental organism. Molecular Microbiology, 104. http://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13634
- 21) Moormeier, Derek E.., Bose, Jeffrey L.., Horswill, A.., & Bayles, K. (2014). Temporal and Stochastic Control of Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm Development. mBio, 5. http://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01341-14
- 22) Neopane, P.., Nepal, H.., Shrestha, R.., Uehara, O.., & Abiko, Y. (2018). In vitro biofilm formation by Staphylococcus aureus isolated from wounds of hospital-admitted patients and their association with antimicrobial resistance. International Journal of General Medicine, 11, 25 - 32. http://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S153268
- 23) Otto, M. (2013). Staphylococcal infections: mechanisms of biofilm maturation and detachment as critical determinants of pathogenicity. Annual review of medicine, 64, 175-88. http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-042711-140023
- 24) Ricciardi, B.., Muthukrishnan, G.., Masters, E.., Ninomiya, Mark J.., Lee, Charles C.., & Schwarz, E. (2018). Staphylococcus aureus Evasion of Host Immunity in the Setting of Prosthetic Joint Infection: Biofilm and Beyond. Current Reviews in Musculoskeletal Medicine, 11, 389-400. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12178-018-9501-4
- 25) Roy, Sashwati., Santra, S.., Das, Amitava., Dixith, Sriteja., Sinha, M.., Ghatak, Subhadip., Ghosh, Nandini., Banerjee, Pradipta., Khanna, S.., Mathew-Steiner, Shomita S., Ghatak, Piya Das., Blackstone, B.., Powell, H.., Bergdall, V.., Wozniak, D.., & Sen, C. (2019). Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm Infection Compromises Wound Healing by Causing Deficiencies in Granulation Tissue Collagen. Annals of Surgery, 271, 1174 - 1185. <http://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000003053>

- 26) Sugimoto, Shinya., Sato, F.., Miyakawa, Reina., Chiba, Akio., Onodera, S.., Hori, S.., & Mizunoe, Y. (2018). Broad impact of extracellular DNA on biofilm formation by clinically isolated Methicillin-resistant and -sensitive strains of Staphylococcus aureus. Scientific Reports, 8. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20485-z>
- 27) Tong, S.., Davis, Joshua S.., Eichenberger, E.., Holland, T.., & Fowler, V. (2015). Staphylococcus aureus Infections: Epidemiology, Pathophysiology, Clinical Manifestations, and Management. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 28, 603 - 661. <http://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14>
- 28) Watkins, Richard., David, M.., & Salata, R. (2012). Current concepts on the virulence mechanisms of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Journal of medical microbiology*, 61 Pt 9, 1179-93. <http://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.043513-0>
- 29) Wu, Shijia., Duan, Nuo., Gu, Huajie., Hao, Liling., Ye, Hua., Gong, Wenhui., & Wang, Zhouping. (2016). A Review of the Methods for Detection of Staphylococcus aureus Enterotoxins. Toxins, 8. <http://doi.org/10.3390/toxins8070176>
- 30) Zecconi, A.., & Scali, F. (2013). Staphylococcus aureus virulence factors in evasion from innate immune defenses in human and animal diseases. *Immunology letters*, 150 1-2, 12-22. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.imlet.2013.01.004>